# Message Text

PAGE 01 NATO 03070 01 OF 02 011532Z

50

**ACTION ACDA-19** 

INFO OCT-01 EUR-25 ISO-00 CIAE-00 PM-07 H-03 INR-10 L-03

NSAE-00 NSC-07 PA-04 RSC-01 PRS-01 SP-03 SS-20

USIA-15 TRSE-00 SAJ-01 OIC-04 IO-14 AEC-11 SAM-01

OMB-01 DRC-01 /152 W

----- 117999

R 011135Z JUN 74 FM USMISSION NATO

TO SECSTATE WASHDC 6066

SECDEF WASHDC

INFO USDEL MBFR VIENNA

AMEMBASSY BONN

AMEMBASSY LONDON

USNMR SHAPE

USCINCEUR

S E C R E T SECTION 1 OF 2 USNATO 3070

E.O. 11652: GDS, 12/31/82 TAGS: PARM, NATO

SUBJECT: MBFR: TEXT OF IMS REPS MAY 30 HANDOUT IN SPC ON

MILITARY IMPLICATIONS OF ADOPTING NEW FIGURES

REF: (A) USNATO 3021 (B) USNATO 3031

1. IN CONJUNCTION WITH HIS SPEAKING NOTE (TEXT REF A), IMS REP (SMIGH) CIRCULATED AT MAY 30 SPC MEETING (AND ON HIS OWN AUTHORITY) PRELIMINARY INFORMAL ANALYSIS OF MILITARY IMPLICATIONS FOR NATO WHICH MIGHT RESULT IF NEW FIGURES WERE ADOPTED IN NEGOTIATIONS AND IF CERTAIN ELEMENTS OF NATO AIR FORCES WERE INCLUDED. TEXT OF PAPER APPEARS BELOW.

2. BEGIN TEXT:

PRELIMINARY STAFF LEVEL CONSIDERATION OF THE OSSIBLE SECRET

PAGE 02 NATO 03070 01 OF 02 011532Z

IMPLICATIONS OF NATO'S GROUND FORCE STRENGTHS AS IN AC/276-D(74)5 AND OF ADOPTING AND DECLARING STRENGTHS FOR CERTAIN ELEMENTS OF NATO AIR FORCES

- 1. IN ADOPTING THE COMMON CEILING CONCEPT OF MBFR, THE ALLIES ENVISAGED APPLICATION TO GROUND FORCES ONLY IN THE NGA.
- 2. THIS INVOLVES, IN TERMS OF MANPOWER:

FIGURE OF OCT 73 NEW ASSESMENT AT 31.12.73

NATO 777,000 798,000 WP 925,000 927,000

3. THE CHANGE IN NUMBERS IS DUE PRIMARILY TO THE INCLUSION OF GE ARMY ELEMENTS EMPLOYED IN CENTRAL AND JOINT SERVICE ESTABLISHMENTS (20,000),

OMITTED FROM THE COUNT OF OCT 73.

IMPLICATIONS FOR GROUND FORCES (IF THE COMMON CEILING AT 700,000 IS MAINTAINED)

4. NATO, IF THE COMMON CEILING AT 700,000 WERE MAINTAINED, WOULD HAVE TO REDUCE. IN NUMERICAL TERMS:

A. FROM A TOTAL OF 798.000

B. BY 21,000 MORE THAN ENVISAGED

C. BY A PERCENTAGE OF 12.3 PCT

D. IN THE FIRST (US/USSR) PHASE, THE US REDUCTIONS (OF 29,000) WOULD BE UNAFFECTED.

5. ASSUMING THERE WERE NO FURTHER US REDUCTIONS INTHE 2ND PHASE OF MBFR, THE CA, UK AND INDIGENOUS NATO FORCES WOULD BE REDUCED BY  $21,\!000$ 

MORE THAN PREVIOUSLY ENVISAGED (I.E. BY 69,000 OR 11.4 PCT).

THE EFFECTS IN DETAIL ARE DIFFICULT TO ASSESS IN THE ABSENCE OF ANY FIRM DECISION ON ALLOCATION OF REDUCTIONS/WITHDRAWALS AMONG NATO

FORCES IN THE SECOND PHASE OF MBFR. CLEARLY, THE EXTRA REDUCTIONS COULD NOT BE BORNE TO ANY SIGNIFICANT EXTENT BY THE GE CENTRALISED/SECRET

PAGE 03 NATO 03070 01 OF 02 011532Z

### JOINT

ESTABLISHMENTS FROM WHICH THEY LARGELY DERIVE. THE EXTRA REDUCTIONS FOR THE INDIGENOUS FORCES, IF APPLIED ACROSS THE BOARD OF ARMY FORCES AMOUNT TO THE MANPOWER EQUIVALENT OF 3-4 BRIGADES (ABOUT ONE DIVISION),

ADDITIONAL TO ABOUT 48,000 (2-2 1/2 DIVISION MANPOWER EQUIVALENTS) PREVIOUSLY ENVISAGED FOR THE SECOND PHASE OF MBFR REDUCTIONS. THE WP FIGURES HAVE REMAINED SUBSTANTIALLY UNCHANGED (927,000 V. 925,000). THEREFORE, THE TOTAL NATO REDUCTIONS UNDER THE COMMON CEILING CONCEPT WOULD BE LESS ASYMMETRIC THAN PREVIOUSLY ENVISAGED: THE RESIDUAL STANDING NATO PEACETIME FORCES INCLUDED IN THE 700,000 COULD, DEPENDING ON THE FORM OF REDUCTIONS

AND

THEIR ALLOCATION BETWEEN NATO NATIONS:

A. BE LOWER BY THE MANPOWEREQUIVALENT OF 3-4 BRIGADES (1 DIVISION) THAN PREVIOUSLY ENVISAGED.

OR B. BE AT A LOWER STATE OF READINESS BECAUSE OF LOWER MANNING LEVELS.

OR C. BE AFFECTED BY A COMBINATION OF A. AND B. ABOVE.

IMPLICATIONS FOR GROUND FORCES (IF THE COMMON CEILING WERE RAISED)

6. IF, ON THE OTHER HAND, THE AGREED LIMITATION OF REDUCTIONS TO 10 PCT WERE APPLIED, THEN NATO WOULD HAVE TO REDUCE ARMY PERSONNEL BY ABOUT 80,000 RATHER THAN BY ABOUT 77,000 - AN ADDITIONAL 3,000, WITH

A COMMON CEILING AT ABOUT 720,000. THIS DIFFERENCE, RELATED TO THE OBJECTIVE OF ATTAINING WITHDRAWAL OF A SOVIET TANK ARMY AND A COMMON CEILING FOR NATO/PACT GROUND FORCES WOULD BE UNLIKELY TO BE SIGNIFICANT.

INCLUSION OF AIR FORCES

7. THE AD HOC GROUP REQUIRE, FOR THEIR OWN INFORMATIN:

A. THE NUMBERS OF AIR FORCE PERSONNEL FOR BOTH NATO AND WP FORCES WHO CARRY OUT DUTIES UNDERTAKEN BY THE ARMY PERSONNEL OF SECRET

PAGE 04 NATO 03070 01 OF 02 011532Z

CERTAIN NATIONS.

B. THE OVERALL NUMBERS OF AIR FORCE PERSONNEL IN THE NGA, ON BOTH SIDES, BY COUNTRY.

THE EXTENT TO WHICH THE AD HOC GROUP MAY USE THIS INFORMATION IN NEGOTIATIONS WITH THE EAST IS NOT YET DECIDED.

STRUCTURAL DIFFERENCES IN FORCES

8. THE IMMEDIATE PROBLEM DERIVES FROM E-W NEGOTIATIONS, ALREADY STARTED, TO DEFINE "GROUND FORCES" IN THE CONTEXT OF THE ALLIED CONCEPT OF

MBFR. THE ALLIES HAVE ALREADY ADMITTED (IT IS PUBLIC KNOWLEDGE) THAT SOME

NATO AIR FORCES CARRY OUT CERTAIN TASKS WHICH, IN MOST PACT FORCES,

UNDERTAKEN BY ARMY PERSONNEL. THE WP HAVE ACKNOWLEDGED THAT, IN THE PACT

FORCES, GDR AIR FORCE PERSONNEL MAN GROUND AIR DEFENCES.

SECRET

PAGE 01 NATO 03070 02 OF 02 011639Z

47

**ACTION ACDA-19** 

INFO OCT-01 EUR-25 ISO-00 CIAE-00 PM-07 H-03 INR-10 L-03

NSAE-00 NSC-07 PA-04 RSC-01 PRS-01 SP-03 SS-20

USIA-15 TRSE-00 SAJ-01 OIC-04 IO-14 AEC-11 SAM-01

OMB-01 DRC-01 /152 W

----- 118336

R 011135Z JUN 74 FM USMISSION NATO TO SECSTATE WASHDC 6067 SECDEF WASHDC INFO USDEL MBFR VIENNA AMEMBASSY BONN AMEMBASSY LONDON

USNMR SHAPE

USCINCEUR

SECRETSECTION 2 OF 2 USNATO 3070

9. IF ALL THE ELEMENTS FALLING INTO THESE CATEGORIES (HELICOPTERS, SAM, SSM), ARE TAKEN IN, THE NATO AIR FORCE MANPOWER INVOLVED WOULD TOTAL 34,000. IN THE GDR FORCES, THE CORRESPONDING IDENTIFIED FIGURE WOULD BE ABOUT 11,000.

10. IF THESE AIR FORCE PERSONNEL ARE THEN ADDRESSED FOR REDUCTIONS, THE TOTALS WOULD BE:

ARMY AIR TOTAL

NATO 798,000 34,000 832,000

WP 927,000 11,000 938,000

IF THE COMMON CEILING CONCEPT AT 700,000 WERE MAINTAINED, THE RESULT AGAIN WOULD BE TO REDUCE THE ASYMMETRY IN REDUCTIONS AS ENVISAGED BY NATO IN THE "APPROACH TO NEGOTIATIONS" (1), THUS:

REDUCTIONS

INCL OF IDENTIFIED AND

C-M(73)83(FINAL) NEW COUNT QUALIFIED AIR ELEMENTS

31.12.73 WITH NEW COUNT

SECRET

PAGE 02 NATO 03070 02 OF 02 011639Z

NATO 77,000 98,000 132,000 WP 225,000 227,000 238,000\$\$ RATIO 3:1 2.3:1 1.9:1

\$\$THESE FIGURES MUST BE ADJUSTED TO THE EXTENT THAT WP AIR FORCE HELICOPTER AND SSM UNITS CAN BE IDENTIFIED AS BEING IN DIRECT SUPPORT OF THE ARMIES.

11. THE NET NUMERICAL RESULT WOULD STILL PLACE NATO MORE FAVOURABLE, IN TERMS OF CONFRONTATION IN PEACETIME, THAN IS CURRENTLY THE CASE. ESSENTIALLY, NATO WOULD HAVE MOVED SIGNIFICANTLY NEARER THE WARSAW PACT APPROACH ON TWO COUNTS:

A. ACKNOWLEDGEMENT THAT AIR FORCES AS WELL AS ARMIES SHOULD BE COUNTED AND ADDRESSED.

B. ACKNOWLEDGEMENT THAT THE ASYMMETRY IN NATO-PACT FORCES, COUNTED IN ACCORDANCE WITH A., IS LESS THAN NATO HAS CLAIMED: I.E. THAT THE "GROUND" FORCES ARE NEARER "BALANCE" THAN NATO HAS ADMITTED.

12. THE ADDITIONAL REDUCTIONS REQUIRED OF NATO COULD NOT BE BORNE WHOLLY, OR SUBSTANTIALLY, THE THE AIR FORCE PERSONNEL (34,000) INTRODUCED INTO THE EQUATION, AS THIS WOULD SERIOUSLY REDUCE NATO'S AIR DEFENCES--FOR SOME NATIONS ALMOST DENUDE THEM. AGAIN, AS DISCUSSED FOR ADDITIONAL GROUND FORCES AT PARAS 4-5 ABOVE, THE CUTS WOULD HAVE TO BE TAKEN MAINLY BY THE EXISTING NATO ARMIES. IF THE AIR FORCES TOOK THEIR SHARE AT 10 PERCENT (3,400), THE ARMIES WOULD HAVE TO BEAR A FURTHER REDUCTION OF PEACETIME STRENGTHS OF 30,000--THE MANPOWER EQUIVALENT OF NEARLY TWO DIVISIONS. IN AGGREGATE, REDUCTIONS COULD THUS EXCEED THE MANPOWER EQUIVALENT OF FIVE DIVISIONS. THE CRUCIAL MILITARY SECURITY ISSUES FOR NATO ARE--FIRST: WHETHER THE RESIDUAL GROUND FORCES, WITHIN THE COMMON CEILING, WILL PROVIDE A CREDIBLE AND EFFECTIVE FORWARD DEFENCE: AND WILL ENABLE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE MC 14/3 STRATEGY, -- AND SECOND, WHETHER THE REDUCED PEACETIME READY FORCES COULD BE EFFICIENT AND TRAINED, AND COULD BE AUGMENTED EFFICIENTLY AND FULLY WITHIN THE ASSESSED WARNING TIME, TO PROVIDE AN UNDIMINISHED RESPONSE TO WARSAW PACT ATTACK.

SECRET

PAGE 03 NATO 03070 02 OF 02 011639Z

13. IF THE ADDITIONAL GROUND PERSONNEL (AS AT THE 31.12.73 RECOUNT) AND THE ADDITIONAL AIR PERSONNEL (AS AT PARA 9 ABOVE) ARE ADDED TOGETHER, AND IF THE COMMON CEILING WERE MAINTAINED AT ABOUT 700,000, NATO WOULD REDUCE BY A TOTAL OF ABOUT 132,000, REDUCTIONS BEING BORNE VERY LARGELY BY THE GROUND FORCES-OR ABOUT 16.5 PERCENT OF NATO'S EXISTING GROUND FORCES (798,000). IT IS VERY IMPROBABLY THAT NATO COULD TOLERATE REDUCTIONS IN GROUND FORCES ON THIS SCALE AND STILL MAINTAIN THE STRATEGY OF FLEXIBLE RESPONSE.

14. ON THE OTHER HAND, IT IS CLEAR FORM C-M(73)83(FINAL) THAT ALLIED AIR FORCES (PER SE) SHOULD NOT BE ADDRESSED IN MBFR, AND THAT REDUCTIONS IN GROUND FORCES SHOULD NOT EXCEED 10 PERCENT. IF, UNDER THIS APPROACH, THE ALLIES WERE TO CONCEDE THAT THE 34,000 NATO AIR FORCE PERSONNEL MENTIONED ABOVE SHOULD BE COUNTED TOWARDS GROUND FORCES (THUS INCREASING THE

TOTAL FIGURE TO 832,000) AND THAT THEY SHOULD BE ADDRESSED FOR REDUCTIONS, THE 10 PERCENT MAXIMUM REDUCTION COULD INVOLVE NATO IN REDUCTIONS OF AN ADDITIONAL 3,000 MEN. THE IMPLICATIONS OF THIS APPROACH WOULD INCLUDE ESTABLISHMENT OF A COMMON CEILING AT ABOUT 750,000, IF THE NATO ARMY PERSONNEL COUNT IS ACCEPTED AS 798,000. THE EFFECT OF THE ADDITIONAL REDUCTIONS UNDER THIS APPROACH (3,000 PERSONNEL) WOULD VARY, DEPENDING ON WHETHER AND HOW THE EXTRA REDUCTIONS WERE DISTRIBUTED AMONG ALLIED NATIONS AND BETWEEN ARMIES AND AIR FORCES. IF THE COMMON CEILING WERE TO BE, AS SEEMS LIKELY, ITSELF AN APPROXIMATION (E.G. ABOUT 750,000), THE 3,000 MEN INVOLVED COULD WELL BE ABSORBED INTO THE FLUCTUATION ROUND THAT APPROXIMATION WHICH WOULD UNDOUBTEDLY DEVELOP DURING NEGOTIATIONS. IF THIS EXTRA CUT OF 3,000 HAD TO BE BORNE IN FULL BY THE AIR ELEMENTS FROM WHICH IT DERIVES, DETAILED REVIEW OF THE EFFECTS OF SUCH A MANPOWER CUT FOR SPECIFIC UNITS WOULD BE NECESSARY, BEFORE EFFECTS COULD BE FORECAST.

#### AIR MANPOWER OVERALL

15. AS STATED ABOVE, THE USE TO WHICH THE AD HOC GROUP WILL PUT THE TOTAL AIR MANPOWER FIGURES HAS NOT BEEN DECIDED. IF IT WERE SIMPLY TO BE ADDED TO THE GROUND MANPOWER FIGURE ON BOTH SIDES, BOTH AT PRESENT LEVELS AND IN CALCULATION OF THE COMMON CEILING POST-REDUCTIONS, THERE WOULD BE NO CHANGE IN THE NATO CONCEPT SECRET

PAGE 04 NATO 03070 02 OF 02 011639Z

#### OF MBFR.

16. DECLARATION OF THE AIR FORCE FIGURE WOULD OF COURSE (AS IT HAS FOR GROUND FORCES) OPEN THE WEST TO PROBING ON ITS CONTENT AND HOW THE FIGURES ARE REACHED. AS THE CHAIRMAN, MC, SAID, AT A RECENT MEETING OF COUNCIL, A MILITARY VIEW HAS BEEN REACHED ONLY ON ONE POSSIBLE OPTION INVOLVING AIR.--THE THRID OPTION IN THE "US APPROACH TO MBFR", INVOLVING A MIXED PACKAGE DEAL. DETAILED STUDIES ON THE IMPLICATIONS OF OTHER AIR OPTIONS ARE NEARING FINALITY IN THE SUB-GROUP ON TAC AIR OF THE MBFR WORKING GROUP.--A REPORT SHOULD BE FINALISED IN LATE JUNE. UNTIL THAT HAS STUDIED AT HIGHER LEVELS WE COULD NOT FORECAST THE MILITARY IMPLICATIONS FOR NATO OF ADDRESSING TACTICAL AIR FORCES IN MBFR.

END TEXT. RUMSFELD

**SECRET** 

<< END OF DOCUMENT >>

## Message Attributes

Automatic Decaptioning: X Capture Date: 11 JUN 1999 Channel Indicators: n/a

**Current Classification: UNCLASSIFIED** 

Concepts: n/a Control Number: n/a Copy: SINGLE Draft Date: 01 JUN 1974 Decaption Date: 01 JAN 1960 Decaption Note: Disposition Action: RELEASED Disposition Action: RELEASED
Disposition Approved on Date:
Disposition Authority: golinofr
Disposition Case Number: n/a
Disposition Comment: 25 YEAR REVIEW
Disposition Date: 28 MAY 2004
Disposition Event:
Disposition History: n/a
Disposition Reason:
Disposition Remarks:
Document Number: 1974ATO03070

Document Number: 1974ATO03070 Document Source: ADS Document Unique ID: 00

Drafter: n/a

Enclosure: n/a Executive Order: 11652 GDS, 12/31/82

Errors: n/a Film Number: n/a From: NATO

Handling Restrictions: n/a

Image Path:

Legacy Key: link1974/newtext/t19740687/abbryvig.tel Line Count: 317

Locator: TEXT ON-LINE Office: n/a **Original Classification: SECRET** 

Original Handling Restrictions: n/a Original Previous Classification: n/a Original Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a

Page Count: 6

Previous Channel Indicators:
Previous Classification: SECRET

Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Reference: (A) USNATO 3021 (B) USNATO 3031
Review Action: RELEASED, APPROVED
Review Authority: golinofr

Review Comment: n/a
Review Content Flags: Review Date: 19 JUL 2001

**Review Event:** 

Review Exemptions: n/a
Review History: RELEASED <19-Jul-2001 by thigpegh>; APPROVED <08 MAY 2002 by golinofr>

**Review Markings:** 

Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 30 JUN 2005

**Review Media Identifier:** Review Referrals: n/a Review Release Date: n/a Review Release Event: n/a **Review Transfer Date:** Review Withdrawn Fields: n/a

Secure: OPEN Status: NATIVE

Subject: MBFR: TEXT OF IMS REPS MAY 30 HANDOUT IN SPC ON MILITARY IMPLICATIONS OF ADOPTING NEW FIGURES

TAGS: PARM, NATO

To: STATE SECDEF INFO MBFR VIENNA

**BONN** LONDON USNMR SHAPE USCINCEUR Type: TE

Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 30 JUN 2005

**Markings:** Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 30 JUN 2005